# Variational Principles for Steady Heat Conduction With Mixed Boundary Conditions\*

## R. S. BRAND

(University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, U.S.A.)

# F. J. LAHEY

(United Aircraft Research Laboratories, East Hartford, Connecticut, U.S.A.)

(Received June 6, 1968)

#### SUMMARY

For the boundary value problem of steady heat conduction with general boundary conditions a variational problem is formulated by adding a simple surface integral to Butler's volume integral.

### 1. Introduction

There has been considerable interest recently in formulating the problem of heat conduction as a variational problem. For example, Hays [1] gives an integral which takes on a stationary value when the temperature distribution satisfies the heat conduction equation in a region R, provided that at all points of the surface of R either the temperature is prescribed or the normal heat flux vanishes. Hays' formulation is applicable to both time-dependent and steady problems, and the conductivity and thermal capacity may be any given functions of the temperature. Butler [2] proposes a much simpler integral for steady problems with the same type of boundary conditions.

However, one often has the normal heat flux prescribed, rather than vanishing, on a portion of the bounding surface, or the even more complicated case when neither the temperature nor the normal heat flux is given, but rather a relation between them exists, as, for example, a surface heated or cooled by convection or radiation. It is the purpose of this note to show that these more complicated problems may be expressed as variational problems by adding a simple surface integral to Butler's volume integral.

Biot [3] has also given variational principles for heat flow problems and has included the types of boundary conditions considered here. However, he is primarily interested in time-dependent problems, and his integrals do not seem to reduce in the steady case to the simple forms given in the present paper.

### 2. Problem I: Normal Heat Flux Prescribed

Let it be required to find the steady temperature distribution,  $T(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , in a region R, bounded by a surface S, subject to the boundary conditions

T is prescribed on  $S_1$ , a portion of S.

 $q_i n_i$  is prescribed on  $S_2$ , the remainder of S. (2)

Here,  $q_i$  denotes the Cartesian components of the heat flux vector and  $n_i$  denotes the components of the outer unit normal to S. The heat flux is related to the temperature field by the Fourier law of heat conduction,

$$q_i = -KT_{,i}, (3)$$

\* This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant GK-108.

(1)

where K = K(T) is any given function. The conservation of energy requires that

$$q_{i,i} = 0 \text{ in } R . \tag{4}$$

Let  $T^*(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be the temperature distribution which satisfies the system (1), (2), (3), (4); and let  $K^*$  and  $q_i^*$  be the corresponding conductivity and heat flux. Further, let  $T(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be a neighboring function to  $T^*$ , satisfying the same boundary conditions. Then T may be expressed as

$$T = T^* + \varepsilon \eta , \qquad (5)$$

where  $\eta$  is an arbitrary function of the co-ordinates and  $\varepsilon$  is a small parameter. The conductivity corresponding to the temperature field T is

$$K = K^* + \varepsilon \eta K'(T^*), \tag{6}$$

where  $K'(T^*)$  denotes the derivative of K(T) with respect to T, evaluated at  $T = T^*$ . Similarly,

 $q_i = - \left[ K^* + \varepsilon \eta K'(T^*) \right] \left( T^*_{,i} + \varepsilon \eta_{,i} \right),$ 

which is, to the first order in  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$q_i = q_i^* - \varepsilon(\eta K^*)_{,i} . \tag{7}$$

Since T and T\* must agree on  $S_1$ , and  $q_i n_i$  and  $q_i^* n_i$  agree on  $S_2$ ,

$$\eta = 0 \text{ on } S_1; \tag{8}$$

$$(\eta K^*)_{,i} n_i = 0 \text{ on } S_2 . \tag{9}$$

Define H(T) to be

$$H(T) = \int K(T) dT . \tag{10}$$

Then, for T near to  $T^*$ 

$$H(T) = H^* + \varepsilon \eta K^* . \tag{11}$$

It can now be shown that the following integral assumes a stationary value when  $T = T^*$ :

$$I = \int_{R} q_i q_i dv + \int_{S_2} q_i n_i H \, ds \,. \tag{12}$$

If one expresses the right side of (12) in terms of starred functions and variations, one can then find

$$(dI/d\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{R} -q_{i}^{*}(\eta K^{*})_{,i} dv + \int_{S_{2}} n_{i} \left[ -H^{*}(\eta K^{*})_{,i} + q_{i}^{*} \eta K^{*} \right] dS .$$
<sup>(13)</sup>

The volume integral in (13) may be written

$$-\int_{R} q_{i}^{*}(\eta K^{*})_{,i} dv = -\int_{R} (q_{i}^{*} \eta K^{*})_{,i} dv + \int_{R} \eta K^{*} q_{i,i}^{*} dv .$$
(14)

The first integral on the right side of (14) may be converted to a surface integral, and the second vanishes because  $q_i^*$  must satisfy equation (4). Also, in (13), the first term in the surface integral vanishes by the boundary condition (9). Hence, (13) becomes

$$(dI/d\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon=0} = -\int_{S} q_i^* \eta K^* n_i ds + \int_{S_2} q_i^* \eta K^* n_i ds .$$
<sup>(15)</sup>

But in (15), there is no contribution from the integration over  $S_1$ , because  $\eta$  vanishes there by boundary condition (8). Hence,

$$(dI/d\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon=0} = 0 \tag{16}$$

which proves that I is stationary when  $T = T^*$ .

Journal of Engineering Math., Vol. 3 (1969) 119-121

## 3. Problem II: Normal Heat Flux a Function of Temperature

This is the same as Problem I, except that boundary condition (2) is replaced by

$$q_i n_i = F(T) \text{ on } S_2 , \qquad (17)$$

with F a specified function but neither  $q_i$  nor T given on  $S_2$ .

Define G(T) to be the function

$$G(T) = \int K(T)F(T)dT .$$
<sup>(18)</sup>

If, as before,  $T^*$  is the temperature distribution which solves the problem, and  $\epsilon\eta$  is its variation,

$$G(T) = G^* + \varepsilon \eta K^* F^* . \tag{19}$$

A functional which is stationary in this case is

$$J = \int_{R} q_i q_i dv + \int_{S_2} G ds .$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

Following essentially the same procedure as in Problem I, one finds

$$(dJ/d\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon=0} = -\int_{S} q_{i}^{*} \eta K^{*} n_{i} ds + \int_{S_{2}} \eta K^{*} F^{*} ds .$$
<sup>(21)</sup>

Since  $\eta$  vanishes on  $S_1$ , this can be written

$$(dJ/d\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{S_2} \eta K^* (F^* - q_i^* n_i) ds , \qquad (22)$$

which is seen to vanish because of (17).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. F. Hays; Variational Formulation of the Heat Equation, Chapter 2 in Non-equilibrium Thermodynamics, Variational Techniques, and Stability. R. J. Donnelly et al., eds. U. of Chicago Press, 1966.
- [2] H. W. Butler; A minimum principle for heat conduction. Proc. 5th U.S. National Congress of Appl. Mech. A.S.M.E., New York, p. 739, 1966.
- [3] M. A. Biot; New Methods in Heat Flow Analysis, With Applications to Flight Structures. Jour. Aero. Sci., 24, pp. 857-873, 1957.

Journal of Engineering Math., Vol. 3 (1969) 119-121